

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/062,583	<b>Applicant(s)</b> VOHRA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen	<b>Art Unit</b> 1754	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Mr. Dinesh Agarwal.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 30 April 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-20

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Examiner's amendment was proposed to put case in allowable condition. Authorization was given by Mr. Agarwal.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)